ADVANCE RESEARCH JOURNAL OF C R P I M P R O V E M E N T Volume 4 | Issue 1 | June, 2013 | 1-7

AUTHORS' INFO

Associated Co-author : ¹Division of Fruit Science, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Chatha, JAMMU (J&K) INDIA

Author for correspondence : MAHITAL JAMWAL

Division of Fruit Science, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Chatha, JAMMU (J&K) INDIA Email : mahital_jamwal@rediffmail.com Research Paper

Presowing seed treatments affect germination and quality of rootstock in Indian ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lam.)

MAHITAL JAMWAL, SHABBER HUSSAIN¹, NIRMAL SHARMA¹, RAJESH KUMAR¹, R.M. SHARMA¹, V.K.WALI¹, ARTI SHARMA¹ AND A.M. PARMAR¹

ABSTRACT : The effect of different pre-sowing seed treatments and sowing dates on germination and rootstock quality of ber was assessed to standardize a combination which could yield optimum germination and quality rootstock. Of the fourteen pre-sowing treatments, highest seed germination and best seedling growth was obtained in $T_{10} \times D_2$ (seed soaking in water for 48 hours followed by 6 days storage in moist gunny bags x sowing on 15th April) and T_{13} (seed soaking in water for 72 hours followed by 6 days storage in moist gunny bags x sowing on 15th April).

Key Words : Seed treatments, Germination, Rootstock

How to cite this paper : Jamwal, Mahital, Hussain, Shabber, Sharma, Nirmal, Kumar, Rajesh, Sharma, R.M. Wali, V.K., Sharma, Arti and Parmar, A.M. (2013). Presowing seed treatments affect germination and quality of rootstock in Indian ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lam.), Adv. Res. J. Crop Improv., 4 (1) : 1-7.

Paper History : Received : 04.12.2012; Revised : 01.03.2013; Accepted : 01.04.2013

ndian ber (Zizyphus mauritiana Lam.) belonging to family Rhamnaceae is a small thorny and bushy indigenous wild fruit crop of arid and semi-arid regions of Northern India, and is popularly known as poor man's fruit. Being a very hardy plant, Indian ber is found growing successfully upto an elevation of 3000 feet, even on marginal soils, where most of the other fruit can not thrive. Yellow and red coloured round fruits of Indian ber are relished by the local inhabitants for its distinct sweet sour taste. It contains B group of vitamins (thiamin, riboflavin and niacin), ascorbic acid (70-165mg/100 g of pulp) and carotene. The cultivated ber or Chinese ber (Zizyphus jujuba Lam.) also belongs to the same family but is distinct from the former in having bigger and elongated fruits and having comparatively erect growth habit which is suitable for commercial production. Traditionally, the cultivated ber orchard is planted by sowing the seeds in the field at a proper distance and then budded in situ after attaining the buddable size. This method involves intensive care and expenditure because of difficulty in the management of large area. Moreover, during the sowing season (April-May), farmers are busy in the agricultural operation such as harvesting and threshing of wheat and sowing of cotton etc. Thus, they have little time and labour to spare for the proper maintenance of ber seedlings. The budding of seedling in situ is a very tedious

process and the trees in orchard never attain uniform stand. Seeds are most often used to raise rootstocks for the purpose of vegetative propagation. Each fruit contains one stone embedded in the pulp at the centre of the fruit (ICUC, 2002) and ber seeds are enclosed in this stony structure. The stone may contain as many as three seeds (mostly 1-2 seeds per stone) embedded in the endocarp of the fruit (Pareek, 2001) but the presence of only one and two seeds per stone also has been reported. Ber seedlings raised from seeds are not uniform and precoucious in comparison to the trees propagated through vegetative methods. The problem in raising ber seedlings is the poor germination of seed. On account of the stony nature of the shell (endocarp) of the ber seed, the germination process become quite difficult and takes a long time, with poor germination ability. Viability of ber seed is variable depending on source, storage time and conditions, seed preparation and growing environment. Although seed viability is a varietal feature but can be manipulated to a greater extent. Keeping in view the above, the present studies were undertaken to evaluate the effect of different seed treatments on seed germination and quality of rootstock in ber to obtain most effective seed treatment could be worked out which can be replicated in the farmers field easily for better ber seed germination and quality rootstock production.

RESEARCH **P**ROCEDURE

The present studies on effect of different seed treatments on seed germination and quality of ber rootstock were carried out in the fruit plant nursery of Fruit Science Section, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Jammu, at Udheywalla during the year 2010-11 located at 32º40' N latitude and 74°58' E latitude at an elevation of 332 m amsl. Seeds from healthy, disease-free indian ber trees were collected and extracted for carrying out the investigations. Different presowing seed treatments involved: seed sown after cracking the hard shell (T_1) , seed sown after soaking in water for 24 hours (T_2) , seed sown after soaking in water for 48 hours (T_2) , Seed sown after soaking in water for 72 hours (T_4) , seed sown after soaking in water for 24 hours + keeping in gunny bags for 2 days (T_s) , seed sown after soaking in water for 24 hours + keeping in gunny bags for 4 days (T_{a}), seed sown after soaking in water for 24 hours + keeping in gunny bags for 6 days (T_{7}) , seed sown after soaking in water for 48 hours + keeping in gunny bags for 2 days (T_s), seed sown after soaking in water for 48 hours + keeping in gunny bags for 4 days (T_{0}), seed sown after soaking in water for 48 hours + keeping in gunny bags for 6 days (T_{10}), seed sown after soaking in water for 72 hours + keeping in gunny bags for 2 days (T_{11}) , seed sown after soaking in water for 72 hours + keeping in gunny bags for 4 days (T_{12}) , seed sown after soaking in water for 72 hours + keeping in gunny bags for 6 days (T_{13}) , control (seed stone directly sown) (T_{14}) and seeds sown on three dates D_1 : 15th March, D₂: 15th April and D₃: 15th May. Seeds were sown in well prepared raised nursery beds after treatments at spacing of 15cm x 15cm. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Deign and each treatment was replicated thrice. After sowing, the beds were covered with paddy straw mulch. Rest of the operations were followed as per the strict schedule of cultivated operations. Observations on seed germination were recorded till two months of sowing at monthly intervals while growth parameters (height and diameter of seedling, number of leaves, diameter of tap root, total fresh and dry root weight, fresh and dry weight of tap root) were recorded two months after seed germination. The dry weight was measured after drying the roots in oven for 25 minute at 73°C. The data were subjected to statistical analysis as per the method suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

RESEARCH ANALYSIS AND REASONING

The results of the present study as well as relevant discussions have been presented under following sub heads:

Seed germination :

The data on effect of different seed treatments on per cent ber seed germination, recorded at monthly intervals as given in Table 1 showed that per cent seed germination tended to increase with increasing the duration of water soaking

Table 1: Effect of different treatments on per cent germination of ber seed											
Treatments -	G	ermination after 1 n	onth of sowing (%)	(Germination after	r 2 month of sowin	h of sowing (%)			
Treatments	D_1	D_2	D_3	Mean	D_1	D_2	D_3	Mean			
T_1	0.00 (0.00)	43.33 (41.14)	23.33 (28.77)	33.33 (23.30)	36.67 (37.21)	60.00 (50.75)	46.67 (43.06)	47.78 (43.67)			
T ₂	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	16.67 (23.85)	36.67 (37.21)	30.00 (33.20)	27.78 (31.42)			
T ₃	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	26.67 (30.98)	33.33 (35.20)	30.00 (33.20)	30.00 (33.13)			
T ₄	0.00 (0.00)	30.00 (33.20)	0.00 (0.00)	30.00 (11.06)	23.33 (28.77)	50.00 (44.98)	33.33 (34.99)	35.55 (36.25)			
T ₅	0.00 (0.00)	10.00 (6.14)	0.00 (0.00)	10.00 (2.05)	16.67 (23.85)	40.00 (39.22)	33.33 (34.99)	30.00 (32.69)			
T ₆	0.00 (0.00)	23.33 (28.77)	16.67 (23.85)	20.00 (17.54)	30.00 (33.20)	36.67 (37.21)	43.33 (41.05)	36.67 (37.15)			
T ₇	0.00 (0.00)	23.33 (28.77)	20.00 (26.55)	21.67 (18.44)	33.33 (35.20)	40.00 (39.22)	50.00 (44.98)	41.11 (39.80)			
T ₈	0.00 (0.00)	30.00 (33.20)	16.67 (23.85)	23.34 (19.01)	26.67 (30.98)	50.00 (44.98)	36.67 (37.21)	37.78 (37.73)			
T9	0.00 (0.00)	43.33 (41.14)	26.67 (30.98)	35.00 (24.04)	40.00 (39.22)	63.33 (52.75)	53.33 (46.90)	52.22 (46.30)			
T ₁₀	0.00 (0.00)	56.67 (48.83)	43.33 (41.14)	50.00 (29.99)	53.33 (46.90)	76.67 (61.70)	60.00 (50.83)	63.33 (53.14)			
T ₁₁	0.00 (0.00)	30.00 (33.20)	20.00 (26.55)	25.00 (19.98)	40.00 (39.13)	50.00 (44.98)	50.00 (44.98)	46.67 (43.03)			
T ₁₂	0.00 (0.00)	63.33 (52.75)	26.67 (30.98)	45.00 (27.91)	46.67 (43.06)	70.00 (56.77)	53.33 (46.90)	56.67 (48.91)			
T ₁₃	0.00 (0.00)	70.00 (56.98)	26.67 (30.98)	48.34 (29.32)	46.67 (43.06)	73.33 (58.98)	53.33 (46.90)	57.78 (49.65)			
T ₁₄	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	10.00 (18.42)	33.33 (35.20)	23.33 (28.77)	22.22 (27.47)			
Mean	0.00 (0.00)	38.48 (28.86)	24.45 (18.83)		31.91 (33.85)	50.95 (45.65)	42.62 (40.57)				
C.D. _{0.5%}		Treatment	3.10				4.21				
		Sowing date	1.44				1.95				
		Treatment x sowi	ng date 5.38				7.30				

Figures in parenthesis are angular transformed values

Adv. Res. J. Crop Improv.; 4(1) June, 2013: 1-7

treatments which was further improved by storing the water soaked seeds in moist gunny bags. The treated seed germination increased with water soaking duration upto a certain duration and declined thereafter which could be due to the harmful effect of extended pre-soaking due to the restriction of oxygen supply during some critical metabolic stage of germination (Kramer, 1983). Under different treatments, after one month time, the highest seed germination (50.00%) was recorded in T₁₀ (seed soaking in water for 48 hours and 6 days storage in moist gunny bags) which was statistically at par (48.34% germination) in T_{13} (seed soaking in after for 72 hours and 6 days storage in moist gunny bags). The seeds failed to germinate upto one month under treatments T₂ (seed soaking in water for 24 hours), T₃ (seed soaking in water for 48 hours), T_4 (seed soaking in water for 72 hours), T_5 (seed soaking in water for 24 hours and 2 days storage in moist gunny bags) and T_{14} (control). Of the different sowing dates, highest germination (38.48%) of ber seeds was obtained in D_{2} (15th April) followed by D₃ (15th May) sowing, however, seeds could not germinate upto one month when sown on D_1 (15th March). Among the interaction of different seed treatments and sowing dates, highest germination (70.00%) of ber seeds was obtained in T_{13} (water soaking for 72 hours and 6 days storage in moist gunny bags) followed by 63.33 per cent seed germination in T_{12} (water soaking for 72 hours and seed storage for 4 days in moist gunny bags) and 56.67 per cent seed germination in T_{10} (water soaking for 48 hours and 6 days storage in moist gunny

bags). No seed could germinate under all the seed treatments sown on 15th March, under treatments T_2 , T_3 and T_{14} in 15th March and 15th April sowing and under treatments T_4 and T_5 in 15th April sowing of ber seeds. Observation on ber seed germination recorded after two months of sowing (Table 1 and Fig 1) revealed that T_{10} (water soaking for 48 hours and 6 days storage in moist gunny bags) yielded highest (63.33%) seed germination which was statistically at par with seed germination in T_{13} (57.78%) and T_{12} (56.67%). The lowest germination was observed under T_{14} (22.22%). Among three sowing dates, highest ber seed germination (50.95%) was recorded in D_2



Table 2: Effect of different seed treatments on days taken for germination, number of plantlets per seed and number of leaves per seedling in ber													
Trastmonts	Ι	Days taken fo	or germinat	ion	Number of plantlets / seed				Number of leaves per seedling				
Treatments	D_1	D_2	D_3	Mean	D_1	D_2	D ₃	Mean	D_1	D_2	D_3	Mean	
T_1	37.67	24.67	25.67	29.34	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	69.33	76.67	72.00	72.67	
T ₂	46.00	32.33	38.00	38.78	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	66.67	73.67	68.00	69.45	
T ₃	44.67	31.67	35.67	37.34	1.00	1.33	1.00	1.11	66.67	74.67	68.33	69.89	
T_4	46.67	29.00	32.67	36.11	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	67.67	75.00	68.33	70.33	
T ₅	43.33	31.00	34.33	36.22	1.00	1.00	1.33	1.11	67.67	74.00	68.33	70.00	
T ₆	42.00	28.67	29.33	33.33	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	68.00	75.67	71.00	71.56	
T ₇	39.67	26.67	28.33	31.56	1.00	1.33	1.00	1.11	68.67	75.67	71.33	71.89	
T ₈	42.33	28.00	29.33	33.22	1.00	1.33	1.00	1.11	68.00	75.67	71.33	71.67	
T9	34.33	24.67	25.67	28.22	1.00	1.00	1.33	1.11	69.67	76.67	72.33	72.89	
T ₁₀	32.00	20.67	22.00	24.89	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	73.33	81.67	76.33	77.11	
T ₁₁	38.67	26.00	27.00	30.57	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	69.33	76.00	72.00	72.44	
T ₁₂	33.33	21.33	23.67	26.11	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	69.67	78.33	74.00	74.00	
T ₁₃	32.33	21.00	23.67	25.66	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	69.67	78.33	74.33	74.11	
T ₁₄	48.33	35.00	36.00	39.78	1.00	1.33	1.00	1.11	66.33	73.67	68.00	69.33	
Mean	40.10	27.19	29.38		1.00	1.10	1.05		68.62	76.12	71.12		
C.D. _{0.5%}	Treatment			0.55 NS					0.55				
	So	wing date		0.06 NS					0.26				
	Tre	eatment x sov	wing date	0.96		1	NS		0.96				

3

sowing followed by D_3 (42.62%) sowing, while it was lowest in D₁ (31.91%) sowing. Among the interaction of different seed treatments and sowing dates, after two months of sowing, highest seed germination (76.67%) of ber seeds was recorded under $T_{10} \times D_2$ and was statistically at par with $T_{13} \times D_2$ (73.33%) and $T_{12} x D_2$ (70.00%). Germination in T_1 (cracking the hard shell) was better than T₁₄ (control) Similarly, Kajal (1983) reported increased seed germination (71.83%) with stone cracking than control in ber. The variation in seed germintion under different treatments is mainly due to variation in the seed coat hardness and different environmental conditions provided for seed germination. With the time, the seed coat become loose and cause little hinderance whereas, in fresh seed, germination may have reduced due to hard seed coat. The findings of present study are in conformity with the results of Singh et al. (2001) who obtained 78.50 per cent germination in Zizyphus rotundifolia seeds while soaked in water for 48 hours and kept in moist gunny bags thereafter for 4 days. Hussain et al. (1990) also reported higher seed germination in three peach cultivars while sown after one week of water soaking treatment as compared to those seeds which were soaked in water for 2, 3, or 4 days.

Days taken for germination, number of plantlets per seed and number of leaves per seedling :

A perusal of data (Table 2) indicates that the number of days taken for germination and number of leaves per seedling

were influenced significantly by different presowing treatments and sowing dates individually as well collectively, however, they fail to exert any significant effect on number of seedlings per seed. The number of leaves tended to increase with the duration of seed soaking in water and keeping in moist gunny bags. Among different treatments, lowest number of days (24.89) to start germination and highest number of leaves per seedling (77.11) were observed in T_{10} followed by T_{13} (25.66) days and 74.11 leaves per seedling). Maximum number of days taken to seed germination (39.78) and minimum number of leaves per seedling were recorded in T₁₄ (control). Of three sowing dates tested in the present studies, the sowing of seed on 15^{th} April (D₂) took the least time for seed germination (27.19 days) and produced maximum number of leaves per seedling (76.12) while the reverse trend were observed in seeds sown on 15^{th} March (D₁). The interaction data reveal that minimum number of days taken to initiate germination (20.67) and maximum number of leaves per seedling were observed in T₁₀ while sown on 15th April. Maximum number of days (48.33) to initiate germination and minimum number of leaves per seedling (63.33) were recorded in $T_{14} \times D_2$. In the similar study, Singh et al. (2004) also observed extended germination period (13-51 days) of ber seeds. The reasons for the extended seed germination period could be the higher soil temperature during this time and requirement of after ripening period for seed germination (Singhrot and Makhija, 1979). The seed treatment with water soaking and storage in moist gunny

Table 3: Effect of different seed treatments on seedling height, seedling diameter and tap root diameter of ber seedling													
Treatment		Seedling	g height			Seedling	g diameter		Tap root diameter				
meatinein	D1	D_2	D ₃	Mean	D_1	D_2	D_3	Mean	\mathbf{D}_1	D_2	D_3	Mean	
T_1	36.43	45.67	39.57	40.56	0.49	0.62	0.52	0.54	0.44	0.63	0.53	0.53	
T_2	31.57	43.93	37.57	37.69	0.40	0.51	0.43	0.45	0.36	0.52	0.42	0.44	
T ₃	32.27	44.30	37.70	38.09	0.41	0.52	0.43	0.45	0.37	0.54	0.43	0.44	
T_4	32.73	44.40	38.17	38.43	0.42	0.53	0.46	0.47	0.37	0.56	0.44	0.46	
T ₅	32.40	44.30	37.93	38.21	0.41	0.53	0.46	0.47	0.37	0.56	0.43	0.45	
T ₆	33.67	44.63	38.50	38.93	0.45	0.58	0.49	0.51	0.42	0.60	0.49	0.50	
T ₇	34.27	45.40	39.20	39.62	0.46	0.59	0.53	0.53	0.44	0.61	0.51	0.52	
T_8	34.17	45.30	38.67	39.38	0.45	0.59	0.50	0.51	0.43	0.60	0.50	0.51	
T ₉	36.13	46.00	39.87	40.67	0.51	0.63	0.54	0.56	0.47	0.63	0.53	0.54	
T ₁₀	37.50	47.73	43.00	42.74	0.59	0.70	0.62	0.64	0.55	0.68	0.59	0.60	
T ₁₁	34.27	45.50	39.37	39.71	0.46	0.59	0.52	0.52	0.45	0.61	0.52	0.53	
T ₁₂	37.00	46.40	42.10	41.83	0.55	0.68	0.60	0.61	0.51	0.67	0.57	0.58	
T ₁₃	37.07	46.53	42.27	41.96	0.55	0.68	0.61	0.61	0.52	0.67	0.57	0.59	
T ₁₄	29.87	42.77	37.27	36.64	0.39	0.51	0.41	0.44	0.36	0.51	0.42	0.43	
Mean	34.24	45.20	39.37		0.47	0.59	0.51		0.43	0.60	0.50		
C.D. _{0.5%}	Treatment			0.40 0.005					0.007				
	Sow	ving date	0).19		0.	.002		0.003				
	Trea	atment x sow	ing date 0	0.70		0.	.008		0.012				

Adv. Res. J. Crop Improv.; 4(1) June, 2013 : 1-7 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

PRESOWING SEED TREATMENTS AFFECT GER	MINATION & QUALITY OF ROOTSTOCK IN INDIAN BER	(Zizyphus mauritiana Lam.)

Table 4 : Effect of different seed treatments on total fresh and dry root weight of ber seedlings											
Treatments		Total fresh we	ight of roots		Total dry weight of roots						
Troutinents	D_1	D_2	D ₃	Mean	D_1	D_2	D ₃	Mean			
T_1	2.37	2.66	2.51	2.51	2.03	2.32	2.16	2.17			
T ₂	1.78	1.99	1.79	1.85	1.14	1.42	1.34	1.30			
T ₃	1.85	2.06	1.93	1.95	1.26	1.55	1.40	1.40			
T_4	2.04	2.21	2.20	2.15	1.62	1.88	1.72	1.74			
T ₅	1.98	2.18	2.07	2.08	1.48	1.78	1.63	1.63			
T ₆	2.05	2.29	2.20	2.18	1.90	2.12	2.03	2.02			
T ₇	2.31	2.47	2.43	2.40	1.99	2.21	2.08	2.09			
T ₈	2.25	2.46	2.41	2.37	1.91	2.18	2.02	2.03			
T ₉	2.43	2.71	2.64	2.59	2.13	2.34	2.35	2.27			
T ₁₀	2.68	2.89	2.86	2.81	2.45	2.87	2.78	2.70			
T ₁₁	2.31	2.50	2.43	2.41	2.02	2.23	2.15	2.14			
T ₁₂	2.58	2.87	2.76	2.74	2.25	2.51	2.43	2.40			
T ₁₃	2.60	2.91	2.84	2.78	2.33	2.58	2.45	2.45			
T ₁₄	1.36	1.43	1.41	1.40	0.61	0.84	0.78	0.74			
Mean	2.19	2.40	2.32		1.80	2.06	1.95				
C.D. (0.5%)	Treatment	0.05					0.05				
	Sowing date	0.02					0.02				
	Treatment x sowi	ng date 0.09					N.S.				

Table 5: Effect of different seed treatments on length, fresh and dry weight of tap root of ber seedlings													
Treatments		Tap root	length (cm)			Fap root fres	sh weight (g)	Tap root dry weight (g)				
Treatments	D_1	D_2	D3	Mean	D_1	D_2	D ₃	Mean	D_1	D_2	D_3	Mean	
T_1	24.73	34.00	33.70	30.81	1.85	2.07	1.98	1.96	1.78	1.93	1.83	1.85	
T_2	16.40	21.50	21.10	19.67	1.72	1.80	1.76	1.76	1.40	1.46	1.50	1.45	
T ₃	16.33	21.93	21.10	19.79	1.73	1.82	1.79	1.78	1.58	1.64	1.63	1.62	
T_4	16.43	24.77	21.40	20.87	1.75	1.87	1.82	1.81	1.60	1.71	1.66	1.66	
T ₅	16.33	23.20	21.27	20.27	1.74	1.85	1.81	1.80	1.59	1.71	1.65	1.65	
T ₆	19.37	27.37	21.97	22.90	1.79	1.93	1.81	1.84	1.63	1.75	1.67	1.68	
T ₇	19.87	27.97	27.37	25.07	1.83	1.97	1.91	1.90	1.66	1.81	1.75	1.74	
T ₈	19.23	27.77	25.43	24.14	1.81	1.97	1.84	1.87	1.65	1.80	1.66	1.70	
T9	26.23	34.70	34.60	31.84	1.99	2.10	2.04	2.04	1.82	1.94	1.86	1.87	
T ₁₀	28.77	36.77	36.00	33.85	2.25	2.38	2.26	2.30	1.94	2.13	1.96	2.01	
T ₁₁	25.50	29.83	30.60	28.64	1.83	2.04	1.94	1.93	1.67	1.82	1.79	1.76	
T ₁₂	26.47	35.17	34.77	32.14	2.03	2.15	2.09	2.09	1.83	1.95	1.89	1.89	
T ₁₃	26.67	35.60	35.60	32.62	2.04	2.15	2.12	2.10	1.84	1.96	1.90	1.90	
T ₁₄	16.23	20.20	20.50	18.98	0.98	1.06	1.03	1.02	0.58	0.71	0.66	0.65	
Mean	21.33	28.63	27.53		1.81	1.94	1.87		1.61	1.74	1.67		
C.D. (0.5%)	Treatment 0.45			0.03				0.02					
	Sowing d	ate	0.21		0.01				0.01				
	Treatmen	t x sowing o	date 0.78			0.05				0.04			

Adv. Res. J. Crop Improv.; 4(1) June, 2013 : 1-7 Hind Agricultural Research and Training Institute

bags have facilitated in either breaking or softening the hard seed coat which resulted in quick germination.

Seedling height (cm), seedling diameter (cm) and tap root diameter (cm) :

The data pertaining to seedling height (cm), seedling diameter (cm) and tap root diameter (cm) of ber seedlings as affected significantly by different treatments and sowing dates and presented in Table 3. There was a positive correlation between duration of seed soaking in water and keeping in moist gunny bags and root-shoot parameters of ber seedlings. Longest (42.74 cm) and thickest (0.64 cm) seedlings and thickest tap root diameter (0.60 cm) were recorded in T_{10} treated plants followed by T_{13} (41.96 cm, 0.61 cm 0.59 cm, respectively), while T_{14} (control) resulted in minimum height (36.64 cm) and diameter (0.44 cm) of the ber seedlings. Among different sowing dates, longest (45.20 cm) and thickest (0.59 cm) ber seedlings were obtained in seeds sown on D_2 followed by D_3 (39.37 cm long and 0.50 cm thick seedlings) and D₁ (34.24 cm long and 0.47 cm thick seedlings) sowings. Among the interaction effects, the longest seedlings (47.73 cm), thickest stem (0.70 cm) and tap root diameter (0.68 cm) were observed in T₁₀ x D₂, closely followed by $T_{13} \times D_2$ (46.53 cm seedling height, 0.68 cm stem diameter and 0.67 cm tap root diameter) and minimum seedling height (29.87 cm), seedling diameter (0.39 cm) and tap root diameter (0.36 cm) was obtained in $T_{14} \times D_3$ and minimum tap root diameter (0.67 cm) was observed under T₁₄ x D₁. Ber seedling growth has been reported to remains poor when budded after September (Singhrot and Makhija, 1979).

Total fresh and dry root weight (g) of ber seedlings :

The perusal of data (Table 4) indicates significant variation for total fresh and dry root weight (g) under different seed treatments and sowing dates. Among different treatments, prolonging the duration of seed soaking in water and keeping in moist gunny bags significantly increased fresh weight of roots registering the highest value for fresh weight (2.81g) and dry weights (2.70 g) of roots in T_{10} followed by T_{13} (2.78 g fresh and 2.45 g dry root weight) and T_{12} (2.74 g and 2.40 g fresh and dry root weight, respectively). Minimum fresh root weight (1.40 g) and dry root weight (0.74 g) were observed under T_{14} . Of the three sowing dates, maximum fresh root weight (2.40 g) and

dry root weight (2.06 g) were obtained in D_2 followed by D_2 (2.32 g fresh and 1.95 g dry root weight) and D₁ (2.19 g fresh and 1.80 g dry root weight) sowings. Among the various interactions (T x D), highest fresh (2.91 g) and dry weights (2.87 g) of roots was observed under $T_{13} \times D_2$ and $T_{10} \times D_2$, respectively, however, both were statistically at par in respect of fresh root weight. Minimum fresh (1.36 g) and dry (0.74 g)root weight were recorded under $T_{14} \times D_1$. These results are in line with the work done by Jett et al. (1996) who reported that root growth rates of matric primed seeds were significantly higher than either osmotic or non-primed seedlings at most temperatures. Singh et al. (2001) also reported highest seedling height and stem diameter of ber seedlings while sown after soaking seeds in water for 48 hours and 4 days storage in moist gunny bags recorded after 3 months of sowing. The better seedling growth might have resulted from the higher manufacture of food (photosynthates) which were translocated towards the roots causing overall increase in growth.

Length(cm) and fresh and dry weight (g) of tap root :

As evident from the data (Table 5), different seed treatments and sowing dates significantly influenced the length and fresh and dry tap root weight of ber seedlings. Longest roots (33.85 cm), highest fresh tap root weight (2.30 g) and dry root weight (2.01 g) was recorded under T_{10} followed by T_{13} (32.62 cm tap root length, 2.10 g fresh root weight and 1.90 g dry tap root weight). The lowest value for tap root length (18.89 cm), fresh tap root weight (0.98 g) and dry root weight (0.65 g) were observed under control (T_{14}) . Of the three sowing dates, maximum tap root length (28.63 cm), fresh weight (1.94 g) and dry weight (1.74 g) were recorded in seeds sown on D₂ followed by D₂ (27.53 cm tap root length, 1.87 g fresh tap root weight and 1.67 g dry tap root weight. The lowest values for tap root length (21.33 cm), fresh tap root weight (1.81 g) and dry tap root weight (1.67 g)were recorded in D₁ (15th March) date of sowing. Among the interaction effect of seed treatment and sowing dates maximum tap root length (36.77 cm), fresh tap root weight (2.38 g) and dry tap root weight (2.13 g) were observed under $T_{10} \times D_2$ followed by $T_{13} \times D_2$ (35.60 cm tap root length, 2.15 g fresh tap root weight and 1.69 g dry tap root weight), while lowest values for tap root length (16.23 cm), fresh tap root weight (0.98 g) and dry tap root weight (0.58 g) were registered in $T_{14} \times D_1$.

LITERATURE CITED

Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). Statistical procedures in agricultural research, New York, Chichester. Wiley, 2nd Ed., 680p.

- Hussain, A., Wazir, F. K., Hazber, A.and Ali, S. (1990). Effect of different soaking periods on germination vigour and bud take success in Peshawar Local, Swat Local and 6-A peach. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 6(5): 437-440. (Abstract).
- ICUC (2002). Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lam). Field manual for extension workers, International Centre for Underutilized Crops, Southampton. 30 pp.
- Jett, L.W., Welbaum, G. E. and Morse, R.D. (1996). Effect of matric and osmotic priming treatments on Broccoli seed germination. J. American Soc. Hort. Sci., 121: 423–429.

- Kajal, R.S. (1983). Studies on the effect of sowing depth, seed and budding treatments on germination and budlings growth in ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.). M.Sc. Thesis, Haryana Agricultural University, Hissar, HARYANA (INDIA). 120p.
- Kramer, P. J. (1983). Water relation of plants. Academic press limited, LONDON.
- Pareek, O. P. (2001). Fruits for the future 2: Ber. International Centre for Underutilized Crops, University of Southampton, Southampton, U.K.
- Singh, A.K., Bagle, B.G. and Trivedi, M. (2004). Effect of GA₃ and sucrose on seed grmination and seedling growth in ber under semiarid conditions. *Orissa J.Hort.*, **32**(1): 78-81.
- Singh, S., Singhrot, R.S. and Bhatia, S.K.(2001). Effect of seed treatment on germination, growth and budding success in ber rootstock (*Zizyphus rotundifolia*) sown in nursery beds and polythene tubes. *Haryana J.Hort. Sci.*, **30**(3-4): 156-159.
- Singhrot, R.S. and Makhija, M. (1979). Vegetative propagation of ber (*Zizyphus maritiana lamk*) III. Effect of time of sowing and acid treatment on ber seeds germination and seedling performance. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, **8**(3&4): 168-172.

7